

# Adolescent Sleep & School Start Times

Prepared by Nathaniel Balber | *Start School Later Bloomfield, NJ* | 2026

## Executive Summary

---

This document addresses the three objections most frequently raised against school start time reform: whether later schedules actually produce net sleep gains or simply shift bedtimes later; whether device use is the primary cause of adolescent sleep loss; and whether sleep education programs are sufficient substitutes for structural change. Drawing on 18 peer-reviewed sources, including four studies using objective actigraphy rather than self-report, two major meta-analyses encompassing nearly two million participants, a National Sleep Foundation consensus panel, and a 2026 publication from Swiss secondary schools, the document synthesizes the current state of the evidence.

The evidence is consistent: adolescent bedtimes do not shift later in response to delayed start times, producing genuine net sleep gains; screens contribute to sleep disruption primarily through interactive in-bed use rather than the presence of light alone; and sleep education interventions, while useful, are insufficient as standalone policy. A combined structural and educational approach is supported by the strongest evidence. *Prepared by Nathaniel Balber, Start School Later Bloomfield, NJ, 2026.*

## 1. Do Teens Actually Sleep More, or Just Stay Up Later?

---

This is the most frequently raised objection, and it is one of the most thoroughly answered questions in the literature. Across dozens of studies using both self-report surveys and objective actigraphy (wrist-worn sleep monitors), the finding is consistent: when school starts later, students' bedtimes remain stable while wake times shift later, leading to a net gain in sleep.

---

### Key Finding: Bedtimes Do Not Drift Later

The landmark Minneapolis study (Wahlstrom et al.) assessed over 18,000 high school students before and after the district shifted start times from 7:15 a.m. to 8:40 a.m. Bedtimes did not move later, and students gained nearly one full hour of sleep on school nights [3]. The JAMA Pediatrics START Study (Widome et al., 2020), one of the most methodologically rigorous studies to date, tracked 455 students using wrist actigraphy over two years across five Minneapolis-area high schools. Students at delayed-start schools gained 41-43 minutes of objectively measured sleep per night with no significant change in bedtime, while also showing a reduced need for weekend catch-up sleep [1].

The University of Washington's *Science Advances* study (Dunster et al., 2018) used actigraphy in two Seattle high schools and confirmed a median 34-minute increase in nightly sleep, with students sleeping in—not going to bed later. The median grade increase of 4.5% was observed across both schools. First-period absences and tardiness also declined significantly at Franklin High School (the more economically disadvantaged of the two schools studied); no significant attendance change was observed at Roosevelt High School [2].

A 2024 systematic review published in *SLEEP Advances* (Oxford Academic) covering 21 studies and nearly 11,000 students in 13 countries found that school-based sleep education interventions were “typically ineffective” at changing objective sleep behavior. The review also identified one included study showing that a later start time promoted longer sleep duration, though that single study carried high risk of bias, consistent with the methodological challenges inherent in randomizing school schedules [7]. An umbrella review of all sleep promotion interventions (Albakri et al., 2021) ranked later start times among only three intervention types with substantial evidence for improving sleep duration [8].

A 2022 meta-analysis in *Pediatrics* (Yip et al.) synthesized 28 studies and 1.77 million participants using random-effects models, confirming that later start times were associated with longer sleep duration, less negative mood, and lower sleepiness. The analysis found that start times of 8:30-8:59 a.m. produced better outcomes than 8:00-8:29 a.m., supporting the incremental value of even modest delays, while noting that effect sizes varied and some aggregate academic outcomes did not reach significance, consistent with the heterogeneity expected across diverse school contexts [16].

The biological mechanism is important for this discussion: the American Academy of Pediatrics explains that two changes at puberty — delayed melatonin onset and a slower accumulating sleep drive — shift teens' natural sleep phase up to two hours later. These are neurobiological changes that cannot be voluntarily overridden. Time at which teens fall asleep is biologically determined; time at which they wake up is socially determined by school schedules [3, 4].

One important nuance: A 2024 quasi-experimental Korean study found that sleep gains shrank from 19 to 7 minutes over one year as benefits partially attenuated over time. The study's own authors concluded that start time changes should be paired with complementary sleep hygiene efforts to sustain benefits [9]. This makes a strong case for combining structural policy change with sleep education programs.

## 2. Devices, Phones, and Bedroom Screens

---

The evidence is clear that screens, especially interactive ones used after bedtime, can negatively affect adolescent sleep. However, several recent high-quality studies using objective measurement have found the effects are more nuanced than older self-report surveys suggested, and smaller in magnitude than early school start times.

---

### Screens Are a Real Factor — With Important Nuance

The National Sleep Foundation convened a consensus panel that screened 2,209 articles and analyzed 522 qualifying empirical studies, concluding that screen use does impair sleep health in children and adolescents, particularly the content consumed before sleep, and that behavioral interventions may reduce these effects [5]. Notably, the panel did not reach consensus on whether screen light specifically (as distinct from content) impairs sleep, reflecting ongoing scientific debate about the relative role of blue-wavelength light versus cognitive arousal from engaging content. A systematic review (Hale & Guan, PMC) of 67 studies found 90% reported adverse associations, with effect sizes up to 45 minutes of lost sleep when multiple screens are present in the bedroom [6].

Emerging research supports a dual-pathway model of screen-related sleep disruption: the biological pathway (short-wavelength light suppressing melatonin via retinal photoreceptors) and the cognitive/arousal pathway (engaging or stressful content maintaining mental alertness incompatible with sleep onset). A 2022 systematic review in *Frontiers in Physiology* (Silvani et al.) found that sleep quality, sleep duration, and sleep latency were not systematically affected by blue light exposure alone, while cognitive performance and alertness were consistently increased, consistent with the NSF panel's failure to reach consensus on the light mechanism specifically [17]. A 2017 systematic review on blue-light-blocking glasses likewise found the evidence inconclusive and of low certainty [18]. Both pathways are real and reinforcing, but this reframes content type and interactivity as the more actionable target for behavioral guidance, aligning with Brosnan et al.'s finding that in-bed interactive screen use, not pre-bed screen exposure per se, drives the largest sleep associations.

However, a landmark 2024 study in *JAMA Pediatrics* (Brosnan et al.) (79 participants aged 11-14 years; New Zealand) used objective wearable cameras and actigraphy rather than self-report and found a more refined picture: screen use in the two hours before bed had no significant association with most sleep outcomes for any screen type. What did impair sleep was screen use while already in bed: interactive activities (gaming, multitasking, social media) showed the strongest associations, while passive viewing was less disruptive. The distinction is not passive vs. interactive pre-bed use, but rather all pre-bed use vs. in-bed use, with in-bed interactive use being the primary driver [10]. The authors noted that prior self-reported data likely overstated the overall relationship, and called for more targeted guidance rather than blanket screen bans.

A 14-day intensive longitudinal study (Burnell et al., 2024) using Fitbits and objective screenshot data found that on days when teens used phones more at night than their personal average, sleep was worse, but population-level associations were weaker than prior cross-sectional studies suggested [11]. This is consistent with the emerging view that it is the interactive, cognitively arousing content, not the mere presence of a glowing screen, that most disrupts sleep.

Critical context: Even accepting the worst-case screen time effect sizes reported in older self-report literature (up to 45 minutes) [6], delays of 25–65 minutes are associated with sleep gains of 25–77 minutes per night [1, 14]. The policy lever of start time change produces effects of comparable or greater magnitude, while reaching every student regardless of parental monitoring, socioeconomic status, or tech literacy.

### **3. Is Sleep Hygiene Education Sufficient on Its Own?**

---

The evidence here is direct: sleep education alone is not sufficient as a population-level intervention. This does not diminish its value, it argues for pairing it with structural change.

The 2024 *SLEEP Advances* systematic review (Oxford Academic) covering 10,867 students found sleep education interventions were "typically ineffective" at changing objective sleep behavior. In one program, sleep duration was actually 22 minutes shorter in the intervention group at 3-month follow-up, attributed to low adherence [7]. An umbrella review across the lifespan identified behavior change methods, mind-body exercise, and later school start times as the only three intervention types with substantial

evidence, noting that sleep education demonstrated "less consistent" evidence [8].

A review published in PMC (Alfonsi V et al., *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*) concluded: sleep hygiene programs are "effective and useful in raising subjective awareness" but have "scarce and low effects on adolescent behavior" when applied alone. The combined application of sleep hygiene education and delayed start times was explicitly recommended as the most effective solution [12].

The evidence strongly supports a combined approach: structural policy change through later start times, paired with clinical and school-based guidance on wind-down routines, device management, and consistent sleep schedules. Neither intervention alone is sufficient; together, they address both the biological constraints on adolescent sleep and the behavioral factors that compound them.

---

## 4. Medical & Scientific Consensus

---

Early school start times have been identified by a 2019 consensus letter signed by over 120 experts in medicine, sleep science, pediatrics, psychiatry, and public health as having evidence that is 'unequivocal, extraordinarily consistent' [13]. The following major organizations all recommend middle and high school start times of 8:30 a.m. or later:

- **American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP)** — Policy Statement, Pediatrics (2014, reaffirmed)
- **American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM)** — Position Statement, JCSM (2017)
- **American Medical Association (AMA)** — Supporting policy statement
- **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)** — Public health guidance
- **NJ Chapter, American Academy of Pediatrics** — NJ AAP Task Force on Adolescent Sleep & School Start Times

A *Sleep Health* journal research summit summary notes that early start times are "the leading factor among the many psychosocial, cultural, and biological factors influencing adolescent sleep currently known to be both modifiable and to have a measurable impact at the population level" [13].

## Full Citation Index

---

All sources are peer-reviewed unless otherwise noted. Click → [Link](#) for full-text access.

---

- [1] Widome R, Berger AT, Iber C, Wahlstrom K, et al. (2020). **Association of Delaying School Start Time With Sleep Duration, Timing, and Quality Among Adolescents.** *JAMA Pediatrics*, 174(7), 697-704. → [Link](#)
- NIH-funded 2-year actigraphy study (START Study). Gold-standard methodology. No bedtime drift. 41-43 min gain.*
- [2] Dunster GP, de la Iglesia L, Ben-Hamo M, et al. (2018). **Sleepmore in Seattle: Later school start times are associated with more sleep and better performance in high school students** *Science Advances*, 4(12). → [Link](#)
- Objective actigraphy. 34-min sleep gain. 4.5% grade increase. Students slept in, did not stay up later.*
- [3] ADOLESCENT SLEEP WORKING GROUP; COMMITTEE ON ADOLESCENCE; COUNCIL ON SCHOOL HEALTH (2014). **School Start Times for Adolescents (Policy Statement).** *Pediatrics*, 134(3), 642–649. → [Link](#)
- Foundational AAP policy statement. Covers Wahlstrom Minneapolis data (18,000+ students, ~1 hr gain, no bedtime drift).*
- [4] Watson NF, et al. (AASM) (2017). **Delaying Middle School and High School Start Times Promotes Student Health and Performance: An American Academy of Sleep Medicine Position Statement.** *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine*, 13(4), 623–625. → [Link](#)
- AASM position statement. Calls for 8:30 a.m. or later start times.*
- [5] Hartstein LE, et al. (National Sleep Foundation Panel) (2024). **The impact of screen use on sleep health across the lifespan: A National Sleep Foundation consensus statement.** *Sleep Health Journal*. → [Link](#)
- Panel of experts reviewed 522 empirical studies. Consensus: screen use impairs sleep; interactive content most harmful.*
- [6] Hale L & Guan S (2015). **Screen Time and Sleep among School-Aged Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Literature Review.** *Sleep Medicine Reviews, Volume 21, 2015, Pages 50-58, ISSN 1087-0792.* → [Link](#)
- 67 studies, 1999–2014. 90% found adverse associations. Effect sizes up to 45 min lost with multiple bedroom screens.*

[7] Gaskin CJ, Venegas Hargous C, Stephens LD, et al. (2024). **Sleep behavioral outcomes of school-based interventions for promoting sleep health in children and adolescents aged 5 to 18 years: a systematic review.** *SLEEP Advances*, 5(1), zpae019. → [Link](#)

*21 studies, 10,867 students, 13 countries. Sleep education interventions “typically ineffective” at changing objective sleep behavior. Start-time findings based on a single included study rated high risk of bias; broader start-time evidence comes from the separate literature.*

[8] Albakri U, Drotos E, Meertens R (2021). **Sleep Health Promotion Interventions and Their Effectiveness: An Umbrella Review.** *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, PMC8196727. → [Link](#)

*11 intervention types reviewed. Later start times, behavior change, and mind-body exercise showed strongest evidence.*

[9] Jung J, Fenelon A. (2024). **Do later school start times improve adolescents' sleep and substance use? A quasi-experimental study.** *Preventive Medicine*, 185, 108028 → [Link](#)

*Korean nationally representative data. Initial 19-min gain shrank to 7 min at 1 yr as effects partially attenuated over time — argues for pairing with hygiene efforts.*

[10] Brosnan BJ, Haszard JJ, Meredith-Jones KA, et al. (2024). **Screen Use at Bedtime and Sleep Duration and Quality Among Youths.** *JAMA Pediatrics*, 178(11), 1147–1154. → [Link](#)

*Objective wearable camera study. Not all pre-bed screen time impairs sleep. Interactive/gaming worse than passive TV. Self-reports overstated relationship.*

[11] Burnell K, Garrett SL, Nelson BW, Prinstein MJ, Telzer EH. (2024). **Daily links between Objective Smartphone Use and Sleep among Adolescents.** *Journal of Adolescence*, 96(6), 1171–1181. → [Link](#)

*14-day Fitbit + screenshot study. Nighttime phone use linked to worse sleep within persons, but population associations weaker than prior surveys.*

[12] Alfonsi V, Scarpelli S, D’Atri A, Stella G, Gennaro LD (2020). **Later School Start Time: The Impact of Sleep on Academic Performance and Health in the Adolescent Population.** *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, PMC7177233. → [Link](#)

*Comprehensive review. Hygiene education alone has “scarce and low” behavioral effects. Combined approach with delayed start times recommended.*

[13] Ziporyn TD, Owens JA, Wahlstrom KL, et al. (2022). **Adolescent sleep health and school start times: Setting the research agenda for California and beyond. A research summit summary.** *Sleep Health Journal*. → [Link](#)

*Establishes start times as the leading modifiable factor. References 2019 consensus letter from 120+ experts.*

[14] Minges KE & Redeker NS (2016). **Delayed School Start Times and Adolescent Sleep: A Systematic Review of the Experimental Evidence.** *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, PMC4844764 → [Link](#)

*PRISMA systematic review. Sleep increased 25-77 min across 6 experimental studies. No bedtime drift in any study.*

[15] Albrecht JN, Risch A, Huber R, Jenni OG (2026). **The Power of Flexible School Start Times.** *Journal of Adolescent Health.* → [Link](#)

*Swiss secondary schools. Flexible later start → ~44–45 min more sleep, fewer sleep-onset problems, improved health-related quality of life. Standardized cantonal test score in English and math improved; internal report-card grades did not change significantly.*

[16] Yip T, Wang Y, Xie M, Ip PS, Fowle J, Buckhalt J. (2022). **School start times, sleep, and youth outcomes: a meta-analysis.** *Pediatrics*, 149(6), e2021054068. → [Link](#)

*Meta-analysis of 28 studies, 1,774,509 participants. Later SSTs associated with longer sleep, lower sleepiness, less negative mood. 8:30–8:59 a.m. superior to 8:00–8:29 a.m. Effect sizes modest; some academic outcomes null at aggregate level.*

[17] Silvani MI, Werder R, Perret C. (2022). **The influence of blue light on sleep, performance and wellbeing in young adults: A systematic review.** *Frontiers in Physiology*, 13, 943108. → [Link](#)

*Systematic review of blue light and sleep in young adults. Found sleep quality, duration, and latency not systematically affected by blue light exposure alone. Supports dual-pathway model: cognitive/emotional arousal from content operates independently of the light mechanism.*

[18] Lawrenson JG, Hull CC, Downie LE. (2017). **The effect of blue-light blocking spectacle lenses on visual performance, macular health and the sleep-wake cycle: a systematic review of the literature** *Ophthalmic & Physiological Optics.* → [Link](#)

*Systematic review. Found insufficient evidence that blue-light-blocking lenses improve sleep. Evidence for sleep-wake effects rated inconclusive and of low certainty.*

**Contact: Nathaniel Balber (nathaniel@startschoolaterbloomfield.org) | Start School Later Bloomfield | startschoolaterbloomfield.org**

Start School Later, Inc., is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization that works at local, state, and national levels to raise awareness about and advocate for safe and healthy school hours. Start School Later, StartSchoolLater.net, and the Start School Later logo are the trademarks of Start School Later, Inc. and are used here with permission. The statements made here by the Bloomfield, NJ chapter are not necessarily those of Start School Later, Inc.



**Start  
School  
Later**

BLOOMFIELD, NJ